



AF 9100:2026

Aerospace Quality Management System

FORM

Publication, Adoption, Authority and Controlled Closure

AF-FR-9100-032

FIRST EDITION

30 September 2026 | English master text

Publication identity and application

Control	Publication value
Title	Publication, Adoption, Authority and Controlled Closure
Document code	AF-FR-9100-032
Edition / language	FIRST EDITION / English master text
Companion edition identity	30 September 2026
Controlling source	AF 9100:2026 — FIRST EDITION — 29 September 2026
Responsible owner function	Responsible record owner
Primary source anchors	2.5, Y, AA.7, AG.7, AJ.7
Delivery status	Prepared implementation companion. Actual approval, adoption, effectivity and operational decisions are recorded separately.

Use and document-control boundary

This companion implements the supplied AF 9100 requirement basis without changing the original standard. Its process allocation, examples, checklists and record design are authored implementation material. Actual mission or product limits, specialist procedures, permissions and genuine observations must be completed before consequential use. Applicable source minima and independent decision conditions cannot be reduced by this companion.

The printed edition date identifies the companion series; it is not evidence that later preparation, review or operational decisions occurred on that date. New support codes in this set are proposed allocations for controlled registration. Established AF-IG-9100-001, AF-FAQ-9100-001 and AF-TR-9100-001 identities are retained; earlier files, the corporate master list and ASTRA codes are not overwritten.

Equivalent combined or digital records are permitted when all required fields, relationships, authority and history remain intact. A completed PDF field or typed name is not evidence of an authenticated approval. Blank records in this delivery contain no invented applicant, signature, event or result.

Interactive fields are blank by default. Save a working copy, use continuation records where necessary and preserve raw supporting evidence outside the PDF through controlled references. Separate issued PDFs are the authoritative interactive copies; the combined reading edition is flattened.

Contents and reading route

Publication identity and application	2
Contents and reading route	3
1 Purpose, source identity and completion rules	4
2 Common identity and record control	4
3 Form-specific evidence and decisions	6
4 Detailed continuation record	8
5 Completeness and receiving review	9
Appendix A — Source requirements and complete field payload	10

Select actual scope → complete application criteria → use the applicable procedure and technical book → capture authentic evidence → evaluate every applicable condition → obtain the required independent decision. Document availability does not establish implementation or conformity.

1 Purpose, source identity and completion rules

This additional implementation form organizes the detailed record needs of the identified AF 9100 provisions. It supplements the twelve source form modules without renumbering them or creating a new assessment route.

Complete the applicable fields with authentic information. Record unknown, unavailable and not-yet-demonstrated conditions explicitly. An exclusion needs an approved applicability rationale. Use linked continuation records for multiple items, sources, tests, people or decisions. Keep source attachments under controlled custody; do not rely on this PDF alone where raw evidence is required.

2 Common identity and record control

Record ID and continuation link

Template/code and controlled version

Case/applicant/asset identity

Route, scope and application profile

Object configuration and relevant period

Actual creation/event time and time zone

Author and role

Reviewer and approval responsibility

Current state and original history

Original evidence and source location

Access classification and permitted recipients

Retention basis, duration and preservation hold**Correction: original value, reason, author and approval****Authenticated approval/workflow reference (typed name is not a signature)**

3 Form-specific evidence and decisions

Publication/source identity

Actual operator and scope

Prepared/adopted/effective status

Original/new requirement mapping

Editorial versus technical change

Consultation notice and elapsed days

Comments and reasoned dispositions

Technical/mathematical review

Authentic approval and signatory

Actual effectivity and transition

Dependent documents and communications

Local tool/workflow validation

Remaining gaps and permitted work

Records and duty transfer**Receiving custody and closure**

4 Detailed continuation record

Use this section for each additional independently testable condition or actual event. A form-level summary cannot remove a required field, earlier failed result or unresolved item.

Parent form and continuation/item ID

Exact object / configuration / performer / event time

Source requirement / approved profile / condition

Numeric criterion with units or observable attribute

Method / validity / uncertainty / essential step

Original evidence and actual result

Conclusion and limitations / rejected or contrary evidence

Required protection / action / responsible owner / actual due event

Reviewer / approval reference / receiving acknowledgement

5 Completeness and receiving review

Check	Required review
Identity and scope	Every record belongs to the actual object, evaluated configuration and relevant period.
Evidence and validity	Required original data, method, actual results and limitations are traceable.
Decision separation	Technical disposition, independent attestation, employer task authority and public permission are not merged.
History and time	Earlier failed states and original notification clocks are preserved; no manufactured observations.
Approval and custody	Actual competent authority and receiving responsibility are established; typed names are not authenticated signatures.

Actual reviewer / conclusion / unresolved items

Authenticated approval instrument or workflow reference

Receiving custodian / access / retention / accepted residual duties

Appendix A — Source requirements and complete field payload

Source: AF 9100:2026, Aerospace Quality Management System, FIRST EDITION, 29 September 2026; supplied 131-page PDF. Source page references below locate the original publication. These excerpts are read with the complete applicable standard; local implementation sequences do not replace it.

2.5 Edition, time and change control

SOURCE p. 11 | Normative when applicable

2.5(a) The publication identifier is AF 9100:2026; the edition is First Edition; the publication date is 29 September 2026. Amendments shall be uniquely identified and controlled under Annex Y. An edition designation does not attest that a particular organization, product or person has passed assessment.

2.5(b) Scheme time limits shall be interpreted under Annex AG. Adoption dates in contracts, transition dates in future amendments and effective dates of individual authorizations shall be recorded separately. No date shall be backdated to hide a gap in conformity status.

Required evidence: Edition and amendment register; adoption record; actual decision dates and status history.

Y.1 Publication identity and controlled edition

SOURCE p. 99 | Normative when applicable

Y.1(a) The publication identifier shall be AF 9100:2026 and the edition label First Edition. The publication date is 29 September 2026. The publisher designation shall be Aerospace Federation. The full identifier shall be used in conformity statements so that the AF publication cannot be confused with an unrelated document.

Y.1(b) AF is an AF publication-series designation. It shall not be interpreted as adoption by a regional standards system or a claim of regulatory endorsement. Any separate recognition arrangement shall identify its actual parties, scope, date and conditions without altering the meaning of this publication.

Y.1(c) Controlled distribution shall preserve the approved text, tables, equations, figures, annex status and edition metadata. Uncontrolled extracts shall be identified as extracts and shall not be presented as the complete requirement set.

Required evidence: Publication master; edition metadata; controlled copies; accurate extracts and recognition statements.

Y.2 Interpretation requests and technical resolution

SOURCE p. 99 | Normative when applicable

Y.2(a) A request for interpretation shall identify the exact provision, application context, ambiguity, conflicting readings, proposed consequence and relevant evidence. AF shall assign competent reviewers and check conflicts before issuing a controlled response.

Y.2(b) An interpretation may explain an existing requirement but shall not silently create a new obligation, relax a minimum or validate previously missing technical evidence. A material requirement change shall use the amendment process. Where a safe interpretation cannot be established, the affected dependent decision shall remain held or bounded by a lawful technical restriction.

Y.2(c) Issued interpretations shall have unique identifiers, dates, applicable clauses, rationale and approval records. Parties using the standard shall assess their effect on current profiles, procedures and claims and preserve the resulting decisions.

Required evidence: Interpretation request; technical review; controlled response; implementation-impact record.

Y.3 Corrections and amendments

SOURCE p. 99 | Normative when applicable

Y.3(a) An editorial correction shall identify its exact location and show that it does not change technical intent. Changes to requirements, decision rules, thresholds, scope, validity or essential competence shall be treated as technical amendments, not hidden editorial corrections.

Y.3(b) A technical amendment shall include a change rationale, affected clauses and annexes, cross-clause consistency review, relevant technical and mathematical checks, implementation impact and defined effectivity. Substantive changes shall undergo at least 60 calendar days of public comment before final adoption. Comments

and dissent shall receive recorded dispositions.

Y.3(c) Urgent safety information may communicate a protective concern and necessary interim measures, but shall be identified separately and shall not silently rewrite this edition. The subsequent amendment shall follow the controlled technical process.

Required evidence: Correction/amendment record; technical and consistency reviews; comment log and dispositions; actual adoption and effectivity.

Y.4 Numbering, translations and technical fidelity

SOURCE p. 99 | Normative when applicable

Y.4(a) AF shall maintain unique clause, table, figure, equation and form identifiers. Renumbering shall preserve a traceable correspondence and shall not invalidate historical evidence references. Cross-references shall resolve to actual provisions and shall identify whether the cited content is normative or informative.

Y.4(b) An authorized translation shall preserve technical meaning, normative verbs, mathematical notation, units, definitions and decision logic. Ambiguities shall be escalated rather than resolved through unrecorded local interpretation. The English text is the reference edition for this publication; any authorized translation shall state its relationship and issue identity.

Y.4(c) Reformatted accessible copies may be issued where the complete content and relationships are preserved. Layout changes shall not alter tables, mathematical signs, figure labels or the association between a requirement and its exceptions.

Required evidence: Numbering and correspondence register; translation review; technical layout verification; controlled accessible copies.

Y.5 Rights, marks and honest publication claims

SOURCE p. 99 | Normative when applicable

Y.5(a) Rights and permissions for reproduction, licensed use and AF marks shall be administered by the responsible rights holder through explicit terms. A copy of the standard shall not itself confer authority to certify, accredit, represent AF or use its marks.

Y.5(b) Publication status shall be distinguished from independent technical validation, regulatory approval and recognition by another party. Claims about completed evaluation or recognition shall require actual supporting records. Adoption of the document by an organization shall not be described as adoption by the whole sector.

Y.5(c) The publisher and scheme operators shall correct misleading publication or recognition statements when identified and shall preserve the record of the correction. No external endorsement shall be inferred from the similarity of a publication number, a technical term or the use of familiar assurance methods.

Required evidence: Actual permissions; mark controls; evidenced recognition claims; corrected misleading statements.

Y.6 Periodic review and maintenance record

SOURCE p. 100 | Normative when applicable

Y.6(a) AF shall review the continued suitability of the edition at least every three years and sooner where significant safety evidence, technology, feedback or implementation inconsistency warrants. A review shall consider technical coverage, decision consistency, proportionality and effectiveness of the scheme.

Y.6(b) The maintenance record shall distinguish proposals, approved changes, editorial corrections, interpretations and superseded content. A future proposal does not modify the current edition before its actual approved effectivity. Holders shall retain the basis used for each historical decision.

Required evidence: Review program; technical feedback; controlled maintenance log; actual effective versions.

AA.7 Scheme ownership, authorization and changes

SOURCE p. 105 | Normative when applicable

AA.7(a) AF shall control the published AF rule set and written permissions to operate its scheme or use its marks. The operator shall retain responsibility for its evaluations and decisions within the granted scope. The applicant shall retain responsibility for its products, services and representations.

AA.7(b) A scheme operator shall not expand its authorized sector, object or technical scope without the required competence evaluation and written approval. Scheme changes shall follow Annex Y; changes to individual

attestations shall follow Annex AG. An AF designation does not establish governmental delegation or recognition in another scheme.

Required evidence: Scheme governance; actual written operator authorization; authorized scope; controlled changes.

AG.7 Scope change, transfer and provider closure

SOURCE p. 122 | Normative when applicable

AG.7(a) Expansion to new products, services, roles, technical methods, critical processes or sites shall require competence, profile and evidence review before the expanded claim is used. Legal identity, ownership and key resource changes shall be assessed for their effect on responsibility and continuing control.

AG.7(b) Transfer to another operator shall not be automatic. The receiving operator shall verify authenticity, actual scope, decision history, open findings, surveillance and competence evidence and perform additional evaluation where necessary. A suspended or unsupported claim shall not be made valid by transfer.

AG.7(c) If an operator ceases operation, the owner and authorized parties shall preserve records, status and outstanding cases, and arrange any lawful transfer. Holders shall be informed of effects on surveillance and claims. Record transfer alone does not transfer accreditation or AF operating authority.

Required evidence: Scope-change evaluation; transfer review; unresolved findings; provider closure and holder notification.

AJ.7 Digital implementation and record export

SOURCE p. 131 | Normative when applicable

AJ.7(a) A digital workflow shall implement required state transitions, approvals and access controls and shall be tested against permitted and prohibited cases. Tests shall include open critical findings, expired competence, unavailable evidence, mismatched configurations, suspended status and an attempted unauthorized approval.

AJ.7(b) An export shall preserve readable decisions, identities, configurations, requirements, actual results and relationships to supporting evidence. A summary PDF without the necessary source evidence shall not be described as a complete transferable dossier. Migration and restoration shall verify records and relationships, not merely file counts.

Required evidence: Workflow validation; prohibited-case tests; attributable approvals; complete exports; relationship and recovery verification.