



ASTRA

AEROSPACE STANDARD

FILLABLE RECORD FORM

Case conflict and assignment

AF-AST-FR-006

FIRST EDITION

30 September 2026 | English master text

Aviation / Space / Defense / Future systems

Publication identity and use

Control	Publication information
Code and title	AF-AST-FR-006 — Case conflict and assignment
Edition and publication date	FIRST EDITION 30 September 2026 English master text
Accountable function	Responsible Case Owner
Controlling technical basis	AF-AST-STD-001 / AF ASTRA-100:2026; supplied 248-page FIRST EDITION, Clauses 0–80 and Annexes A–AR.
Source fingerprint	fe0f775b3f57e43c7ad6b3d4ab17ac0ff872d3ce8c88fcd9ae9a0116b23209b1
Portfolio	108 retained document codes; F01–F08; 68 retained technical subjects. All-space and verification domains are applicability tags, not additional families.
Publication and operation	Prepared publication. Actual technical approval, consultation, institutional adoption, operational effectivity and individual scope activation require separate authentic records.

Purpose and application

Blank controlled record for Case conflict and assignment. The fields implement the common and module-specific payload in source Annex M and relevant expanded requirements. Complete only when the actual triggering activity occurs.

Source requirements and added implementation detail

The controlling ASTRA source is identified above by content fingerprint. Numbered source clauses and annexes retain their own authority. The retained operating material is restructured and expanded with implementation methods, additional profile controls, worked synthetic examples, decision diagrams and record contracts. Added implementation detail is not presented as an earlier institutional approval or as a change to the supplied core standard. Any additional binding criterion requires the applicable technical adoption process.

The displayed publication date identifies the edition; it is not a fabricated authoring, test, approval or operational date. Actual records retain genuine event times and controlled versions. No named outside organisation or standard is incorporated as a normative reference. AF internal instruments and separate legal or contractual duties remain applicable within their actual scope.

Acceptance boundary

A positive grant requires every applicable mandatory condition to PASS, every N/A to have independent justification, every in-scope nonconformity to be verified closed, and competent independent authority. HOLD, unknown state, missing evidence, a favourable average, a signature image or a completed form cannot substitute for those conditions.

Contents

Publication identity and use	2
Purpose and application	2
Source requirements and added implementation detail	2
Contents	3
1 Completion workflow and validation contract	5
2 Acceptance and original record preservation	5
3 Source and record relationship crosswalk	6
4 Detailed module implementation	6
AF-AST-PR-006 – Impartiality Screening and Case Recusal	6
5 Workflow and relationship diagram	7
6 Worked recording and calculation examples	8
AM.Q03 Positive-decision conjunction and essential failure	8
AM.Q04 Committee arithmetic and accreditation panels	9
7 Missing, invalid and prohibited input cases	10
F1 Retained record fields	11
Retained fields 1 onward	11
Retained fields 10 onward	12
Retained fields 18 onward	13
Retained fields 25 onward	14
Retained fields 33 onward	15
Retained fields 40 onward	16
F2 All-domain assurance extensions	18
F3 Process-specific extension entries	20
F4 Controlled continuation and receiving record	21
Appendix A – Controlling source requirements	22

Appendix B – Local adoption, validation and receiving acceptance	24
---	-----------

1 Completion workflow and validation contract

Blank controlled record for Case conflict and assignment. The fields implement the common and module-specific payload in source Annex M and relevant expanded requirements. Complete only when the actual triggering activity occurs.

Open a working copy, retain its code and existing field names, and enter record identity before technical details. Complete common identity, the original module fields, all triggered assurance extensions, independent review and receiving handover. An expression of interest, prepared application, test design or unsigned record is not a live positive outcome. Record blank, missing, invalid and disputed information explicitly rather than replacing it with favourable text.

Input group	Validation before reliance	Invalid / incomplete response
Identity and configuration	Stable IDs; actual object and performer; correct profile, method and loaded configuration.	HOLD dependent use; resolve wrong-object or wrong-version evidence.
Numbers and units	Finite real values; compatible units; ordered limits; non-negative uncertainty; unrounded source retained.	Reject malformed inputs. Blank, NaN or infinity is not zero.
States and evidence	Received/reviewed/accepted evidence states separate from PASS/FAIL/HOLD/N/A.	UNSET is not a fifth favourable state; preserve the gap.
People and time	Current competence, conflict clearance and authority at actual event time; declared time convention.	Later renewal cannot validate an earlier unauthorised action.
Approval and custody	Authentic approval instrument, exact permitted action and actual receiving acceptance.	No approval inferred from a typed name, file presence or sending a message.

The PDF stores entered text but does not enforce access rights, numerical logic, identity verification, automatic deadlines or live registry states. A competent authorised person applies the checks. Local digital systems require their own validation. Printing may omit text that exceeds a visible field: complete the continuation record and reference it from the parent field.

2 Acceptance and original record preservation

Apply the following logic to the exact evaluated object and responsible function. A procedural checkpoint, product characteristic, competence decision and public credential are different objects. Their states shall not be collapsed into one score. Source: ASTRA 62.1–62.7 and Annex AL.

State	Sufficient basis	Required next action
PASS	Applicable; current approved predicate met by valid, purpose-specific evidence.	Retain the original evidence and bounded inference. Other mandatory gates still apply.
FAIL	Applicable; valid evidence demonstrates that the approved predicate is not met.	Contain affected use; retain the failure; assess cause, extent and required corrective verification.
HOLD	Applicability, criterion, method, evidence, uncertainty or authority is unresolved.	Resolve the missing basis. Do not manufacture a value, favourable default or product-defect conclusion.
N/A	Activity trigger and retained responsibility are absent; independent exclusion approval exists.	Retain the scope-specific reason and reassess when activity or responsibility changes.
UNSET	Only an uncompleted field in this PDF; not a conformity state.	No conclusion is recorded. Complete review before the field can support a decision.

For a compound source clause, create a child-condition ledger containing every separately testable condition, location, phase and operating mode. A sentence-level checkpoint is a navigation aid, not permission to merge unrelated predicates. Each child receives an ID, responsible owner, criterion, method, source evidence, uncertainty where relevant, current state and independent review. The parent cannot PASS while any mandatory child is FAIL, HOLD or UNSET.

An acceptable measurement interval and an acceptable authority chain are independent prerequisites. Use finite, unit-consistent, unrounded values; negative uncertainty, reversed limits, unknown version or wrong-object evidence produce HOLD. For inclusive [L,H] and accepted interval [a,b], PASS requires $a \geq L$ and $b \leq H$; FAIL requires $b < L$ or $a > H$; otherwise HOLD. Approved one-sided or exclusive boundaries retain their own declared predicate.

Positive-decision conjunction

Every applicable mandatory child is PASS AND each N/A is independently justified AND every in-scope nonconformity is verified closed AND scope, competence, independence and actual authority are valid AND required panel participation and voting rules are satisfied. No average, majority preference or payment supplies missing evidence.

3 Source and record relationship crosswalk

The references below use the supplied 248-page FIRST EDITION. Each row retains the original clause identifier and source page. The operating instructions in this document elaborate implementation; they do not replace the full source condition or its applicable annexes. A reference to a whole chapter requires selection of every triggered subclause, not only the examples printed here.

ASTRA ID / page	Source subject	Implementation use
3.2 / 12	Accrediting and delivery entities	Requirement, objective evidence and local child predicates
3.4 / 13	Case separation and decision panels	Requirement, objective evidence and local child predicates
6.1 / 16	Institutional and personal conflicts	Requirement, objective evidence and local child predicates
6.3 / 16	Consultancy, learning and evaluation	Requirement, objective evidence and local child predicates
6.5 / 17	Independent oversight	Requirement, objective evidence and local child predicates
62.5 / 89	No compensation or favourable default	Explicit verification and acceptance predicate
62.7 / 89	Decision replay and consistency	Explicit verification and acceptance predicate
78.4 / 120	Human authority and consequential decisions	Explicit verification and acceptance predicate
80.3 / 124	Competent independent closure	Explicit verification and acceptance predicate

4 Detailed module implementation

AF-AST-PR-006 – Impartiality Screening and Case Recusal

Implementation basis: 3.2 (p. 12); 3.4 (p. 13); 6.1 (p. 16); 6.3 (p. 16); 6.5 (p. 17); 62.5 (p. 89); 62.7 (p. 89); 78.4 (p. 120); 80.3 (p. 124)

X06.1 Screen institutional and personal influence

Trace ownership, consultancy, employment, finance, close relationships, prior case roles and technical method authorship at assignment time.

Completion / hold: A declared material conflict requires effective exclusion or replacement, not a signature alone.

X06.2 Prevent role-chain self-review

Check who created evidence, advised the applicant, evaluated it, reviewed it and decides the outcome. A technical expert's report remains evaluation evidence where they produced it.

Completion / hold: An evaluator or relevant consultant cannot independently approve the same work.

X06.3 Protect review access and resources

Provide the disinterested reviewer with original adverse evidence, sufficient specialist support and protected reporting. Implicated people shall not select their own investigator.

Completion / hold: HOLD the decision when practical independence or access is compromised.

X06.4 Record challenge and reassignment

Preserve the concern, decision, independent clearance and any affected prior work. Reassign without moving original finding clocks or quietly deleting the contested evidence.

Completion / hold: Only a competent eligible role proceeds; replacement does not automatically validate prior compromised conclusions.

Record contract: the actor records the input baseline, actual work, deviations, result and evidence link; the named receiving function acknowledges access and the permitted next action. A returned or incomplete handover retains the original event history. Use the associated FR modules and RG record links; no document or database field confers actual authority.

5 Workflow and relationship diagram





Figure — Implementation sequence for AF-AST-PR-006. Explanatory diagram; the source prerequisites and actual authority remain controlling.



Each relationship carries object identity, controlled version, actual event time and purpose-specific validity.

Figure — Scope-to-custody evidence relationships. A relationship is validated for its decision purpose, not merely because two identifiers exist.

6 Worked recording and calculation examples

The worked calculations below reproduce the supplied standard's Annex AM examples. Charted extensions are newly computed synthetic illustrations of those equations, not measured mission performance or new universal limits. A result is usable only when the actual inputs, model, units, uncertainty and approved application predicate are valid. A successful arithmetic check is not field validation.

AM.Q03 Positive-decision conjunction and essential failure

Source: ASTRA Annex AM, Q03, page 202. All numerical inputs in this example are synthetic.

$$G = S \wedge P \wedge E \wedge F \wedge C \wedge I \wedge A$$

Requirement completion = completed applicable rows / all applicable rows

Variables and units

S=valid scope; P=all predicates passed; E=adequate valid evidence; F=all in-scope findings closed; C=competence; I=independence; A=actual authority.

Example inputs

A synthetic file has 200 applicable rows; 190 are complete. One remaining row concerns an essential protective control. Six other gate groups are true.

Calculation and checks

1. Completion = $190/200 = 0.95 = 95\%$.
2. The unverified essential row makes P false and E false for the requested conclusion.
3. $G = \text{true false false} \dots = \text{false}$.

Decision interpretation: No positive grant. The completion percentage is a planning measure only. A conditional development permission is a separate bounded action, not a conformity certificate.

Validity limits: No averaging, vote or commercial action can change a false mandatory gate. N/A requires independent approval of the absent trigger; it is not a way to remove unfinished work.

ASTRA connections: 15.2; Annex G.1; 62.5; 80




Figure Q03 — Synthetic visualisation. The axes and stated scenario define the quantity; no graph is an accreditation score, approved operating envelope or evidence of a real mission.

Local replay record: retain the actual input manifest, source versions, calculation version, unit checks, independent recomputation, expected/observed boundary results, limitations and decision authority. Do not overwrite the teaching inputs as though they were operational data.

AM.Q04 Committee arithmetic and accreditation panels

Source: ASTRA Annex AM, Q04, page 203. All numerical inputs in this example are synthetic.

Substantive participation minimum = $\text{ceil}(2N/3)$

Affirmative minimum = $\text{ceil}(2V/3)$

Variables and units

N is the eligible committee population; V is the number of substantive yes/no votes. These equations concern the technical committee, not an applicant panel.

Example inputs

Synthetic committee: N=9, V=6, yes=4, no=2. Separate accreditation panel: three eligible members, two affirmative and one adverse.

Calculation and checks

1. Participation: $\text{ceil}(2 \times 9 / 3) = 6$; actual V=6 meets it.
2. Affirmative: $\text{ceil}(2 \times 6 / 3) = 4$; actual yes=4 meets it.
3. Accreditation panel: all three must participate; at least two support and all technical gates must pass.
4. Two participating panel members voting yes do not form a valid three-person panel.

Decision interpretation: The committee arithmetic passes for the stated case. The separate panel can decide positively only if technical gates and eligibility are all established.

Validity limits: Abstentions are not substantive committee yes/no votes. Rounding is upward. A mathematical majority never resolves missing technical evidence or an ineligible member.

ASTRA connections: 3.4; 4.3; 15.3; Annex B




Figure Q04 – Synthetic visualisation. The axes and stated scenario define the quantity; no graph is an accreditation score, approved operating envelope or evidence of a real mission.

Local replay record: retain the actual input manifest, source versions, calculation version, unit checks, independent recomputation, expected/observed boundary results, limitations and decision authority. Do not overwrite the teaching inputs as though they were operational data.

7 Missing, invalid and prohibited input cases

Challenge	Expected handling	Evidence retained
PR-006: Screen institutional and personal influence – required basis missing	A declared material conflict requires effective exclusion or replacement, not a signature alone.	Original input, unresolved value, affected requirement and scope; named action owner.
PR-006: Record challenge and reassignment – handover or review incomplete	Only a competent eligible role proceeds; replacement does not automatically validate prior compromised conclusions.	Expected and observed state; independent replay; correction/retest; receiving acknowledgement.

Before local reliance, also select every relevant AP01–AP48 challenge in the core. Keep fictional tests isolated from live credentials, commands and recipients. For each test define the requirement, precondition, expected response, execution steps, actual observations, evidence, defect consequence, correction, retest and acceptance. This publication supplies test designs and examples, not completed local validation records.

F1 Retained record fields

Existing field identifiers and meanings are retained for continuity with the earlier PDF forms and register payloads. The larger response areas below are blank. Enter authentic information, link original evidence and use controlled continuations when the visible area is insufficient. Do not use the edition date as an actual case, observation or decision date.

Retained fields 1 onward

Record ID

Unique persistent identifier; do not reuse a withdrawn or superseded identifier for a different record. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Template / schema version

Identify this form version and any controlled continuation or equivalent record mapping. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Case and evaluated object ID

Connect to the actual application, asset, person, programme, method or statement. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Legal entity and actual performer

Record the real organisation and person performing each task, site, technical capability, current authority, requirement flow-down, access to original evidence and retained acceptance duties. Coordination is not direct technical performance.

Family / module and outcome class

Use the retained family/module and correct accreditation, recognition, qualification, certification, verification or validation class.

Scope / technical profile ID and version

Identify the exact version; a title alone is insufficient. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Site / station / delivery mode

Record physical, remote, mobile and partner-delivery boundaries that affect this record. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Configuration / method / statement version

Record the precise as-designed, built, tested, loaded, delivered or operated state relevant to the decision. Link versioned hardware, software, parameters, material history and interfaces; list differences and retained/new evidence explicitly.

Creation date and time basis

Use the actual creation date; state time zone when a clock or sequence depends on time. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Retained fields 10 onward

Relevant event / awareness / decision dates

Keep distinct dates separate. Use a linked chronology where more than one event exists. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Accountable author and role

Identify who recorded the information and within which task authority. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Reviewer / approver and authority

Identify the responsible review or approval function; blank does not mean approved. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Evidence references and versions

Link accessible original evidence, including adverse, missing or superseded items. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Record status and purpose

Record exact affected scope, current and prior states, original decision/expiry and actual surveillance completions, changes, action owners and notices. Restoration does not validate a suspended interval or extend expiry without the required renewal.

Access / confidentiality class

Identify authorised source location, custodian, access class, rights, necessary personal/protected information, retention and retrieval method. Provide sufficient lawful independent review; unavailable essential evidence remains HOLD.

Retention basis / custody / hold

Name the controlling retention rule, custodian and any preservation hold. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Correction / supersession history

Retain the measurement function, raw and corrected values, units, uncertainty sources, sensitivity and dependence, coverage interpretation and unrounded computation. Independently check the applicable model and boundary predicate; invalid inputs or overlap remain HOLD where required.

Retained fields 18 onward

06.01 Case parties and intended assignments

Enter actual content and source/approval references. Record a justified limitation or missing information explicitly. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

06.02 Person/entity identity and proposed role

Record the actual person, organisational role, verified identity reference, contact route and scope of the requested task. Link the representative or role-authority instrument; a name or job title alone does not establish authority.

06.03 Prior preparation, sale, consultancy or evaluation

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.04 Relevant employment/consultancy during preceding 24 months

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.05 Ownership, financial and commercial relationships

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.06 Family, close personal or adversarial relationships

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.07 Other current or historical influence threats

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

Retained fields 25 onward

06.08 Declared facts and independent corroboration

Enter actual content and source/approval references. Record a justified limitation or missing information explicitly. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

06.09 Threat significance and control feasibility

Enter actual content and source/approval references. Record a justified limitation or missing information explicitly. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

06.10 Recusal or independent clearance with reasons

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.11 Replacement competence and assignment

Enter actual content and source/approval references. Record a justified limitation or missing information explicitly. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

06.12 Access removal and protected handover

Name sending and receiving parties, exact input/output and configuration, compatible technical conditions, acceptance criteria, evidence and unresolved duties. Record genuine receiving acknowledgement. A sent file, completed invoice or shared premise is not accepted technical handover.

06.13 Late disclosure and prior-work impact

Enter actual content and source/approval references. Record a justified limitation or missing information explicitly. Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

06.14 Independent selector and clearance authority

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

06.15 Actual task, site, period and restrictions

Record the genuine event and period boundaries, time zone, applicable current/instance basis and source evidence. Keep observation, awareness, notice, decision, issue and expiry separate; reprinting a document does not move those events.

Retained fields 33 onward

Required information completeness and remaining gaps

Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Cross-record scope / configuration / chronology checks

Record the precise as-designed, built, tested, loaded, delivered or operated state relevant to the decision. Link versioned hardware, software, parameters, material history and interfaces; list differences and retained/new evidence explicitly.

Independent review and conflict-clearance reference

Disclose relevant present and prior roles, ownership, finance, consultancy, employment and personal relationships. Apply the actual case-exclusion rules and obtain disinterested clearance or recusal; a signed declaration alone is not effective control.

Actual approval instrument / authenticated workflow event

Record unique equipment and configuration, range, resolution/bandwidth, calibration/verification source and validity at the actual time of use. Evaluate fitness for the intended method. A power-on check or calendar due date alone does not demonstrate measuring capability.

Decision or handover scope, restrictions and recipient

Name sending and receiving parties, exact input/output and configuration, compatible technical conditions, acceptance criteria, evidence and unresolved duties. Record genuine receiving acknowledgement. A sent file, completed invoice or shared premise is not accepted technical handover.

Correction description, original version and reason

Retain the measurement function, raw and corrected values, units, uncertainty sources, sensitivity and dependence, coverage interpretation and unrounded computation. Independently check the applicable model and boundary predicate; invalid inputs or overlap remain HOLD where required.

Author / reviewer / actual dates / custody

Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Retained fields 40 onward

Parent record / continuation ID and page sequence

Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Field or requirement continued; exact object and version

Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Continued actual narrative, repeated records or source index

Identify the actual source records and responsible reviewer for each element named in this field. Keep unknowns, rejected evidence and incomplete receiving actions visible; a completed narrative is not proof of underlying work.

Original evidence / protected attachment references

Identify contemporaneous raw records, actual performer, object and configuration, conditions, timestamps, transformations and available originals. Distinguish observation, model inference, operator statement and synthetic material; preserve failed and invalid attempts.

Author, reviewer, actual date and correction history

Retain the measurement function, raw and corrected values, units, uncertainty sources, sensitivity and dependence, coverage interpretation and unrounded computation. Independently check the applicable model and boundary predicate; invalid inputs or overlap remain HOLD where required.

F2 All-domain assurance extensions

Complete each triggered extension, or link an independently approved non-applicability record. These fields are added to support Clauses 62–80 and Annexes AK–AR. A field may reference an equivalent controlled dossier; sensitive technical information need not be copied into every form.

Mission/domain applicability

Annex AK domain ID(s), activity and retained duty; record absent triggers with independent exclusion approval. Unknown applicability is HOLD.

Phase and operating-mode matrix

Identify normal, degraded, dormant, transport, test, service, emergency and terminal states relevant to the exact object. Link each required cell to evidence.

Complete atomic requirement population

Source clause and child IDs; all separately testable conditions; accountable owner; source version and exact intended conclusion.

Prospective technical parameters

Annex AO groups; actual values or defined attributes; units, bounds and inclusivity; conditions; derivation and genuine approval before evaluation.

Evidence validity and purpose

Original source IDs, configuration, method, performer authority at event time, accessible originals, uncertainty and limits. Received does not mean accepted.

Accepted predicate and uncertainty rule

Approved numerical or attribute predicate; unrounded inputs; uncertainty/dependence; validity domain; prospective alternative-rule authorisation where used.

Current child states and unresolved conditions

PASS / FAIL / HOLD / independently approved N/A by child. Blank is unrecorded. Identify every open finding, missing parameter and unresolved limitation.

Configuration and environment difference matrix

As-designed/built/tested/delivered/operated states; source or software changes; retained and invalidated evidence; required new demonstration.

Resource and shared-dependency review

Concurrent power/energy/data/time/consumables or staff duties, common causes, reserve ownership and support availability; do not count one reserve twice.

Command, remote evidence or service completion

Actual target, actor, event and receipt times, authority, transmission/receipt/execution/completion distinction, observability and unknown physical state.

Adverse-case and boundary-test record

Relevant AP case IDs plus local challenges; preconditions, expected and observed response, raw evidence, defect, correction, retest and genuine acceptance.

Independent calculation and decision replay

Frozen inputs and versions, independent recalculation, boundary result, differences found, disposition and reviewer's actual authority.

Claim and state projection

Exact object, outcome class, family/module/domain, permitted wording, restrictions, actual dates and public/protected information boundary.

Receiving acceptance and remaining duties

Actual recipient, usable evidence access, accepted custody, incomplete tasks, terminal-state obligations, notifications and continuing owner.

Original-versus-current source distinction

Identify retained original records, transparent corrections, changed assumptions and effect on earlier outputs; do not backdate later good evidence.

Authentic approval instrument

Reference actual signed or authenticated approval and scoped authority. A typed name, PDF timestamp or blank form is not approval.

F3 Process-specific extension entries

PR-006 X1 — Screen institutional and personal influence

Trace ownership, consultancy, employment, finance, close relationships, prior case roles and technical method authorship at assignment time. Completion: A declared material conflict requires effective exclusion or replacement, not a signature alone.

PR-006 X2 — Prevent role-chain self-review

Check who created evidence, advised the applicant, evaluated it, reviewed it and decides the outcome. A technical expert's report remains evaluation evidence where they produced it. Completion: An evaluator or relevant consultant cannot independently approve the same work.

PR-006 X3 — Protect review access and resources

Provide the disinterested reviewer with original adverse evidence, sufficient specialist support and protected reporting. Implicated people shall not select their own investigator. Completion: HOLD the decision when practical independence or access is compromised.

PR-006 X4 — Record challenge and reassignment

Preserve the concern, decision, independent clearance and any affected prior work. Reassign without moving original finding clocks or quietly deleting the contested evidence. Completion: Only a competent eligible role proceeds; replacement does not automatically validate prior compromised conclusions.

F4 Controlled continuation and receiving record

Continuation identity and parent link

Unique continuation ID, parent form and field names; actual author, time, version and access class.

Complete visible narrative / data dictionary

Include all text needed to interpret the result. Attach clearly identified tables or source data when a visible field is too small.

Independent response and limitations

Record reviewer, actual findings, disposition, scope limits and open conditions; maintain protected dissent.

Receiving acknowledgement

Actual recipient, accepted responsibilities, evidence access check, approval reference and time.

Appendix A – Controlling source requirements

The following text is reproduced from the supplied ASTRA FIRST EDITION. The clause identifier and page refer to that 248-page source. These excerpts and their evidence fields must be read with all triggered normative annexes. Newly authored implementation steps elsewhere in this document do not amend the core standard.

3.2 – Accrediting and delivery entities

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 12.

The legal entity making an accreditation decision shall be identified separately from the applicant body and from the entity providing the assessment services being accredited. It shall not accredit itself or its own assessment operation, including through nominal reciprocal arrangements. It shall not also deliver the conformity-assessment services it accredits or applicant-specific consultancy compromising that accreditation. Related training, sector engagement and shared administration shall be assessed for actual influence, finances, access and ownership, with a genuinely independent arrangement where separation is necessary.

Required evidence: Legal delivery model; related-activity analysis; independent oversight and liability arrangements.

3.4 – Case separation and decision panels

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 13.

Evaluation, independent review, decision and appeal shall be assigned through explicit role checks. Final accreditation grants, renewals, extensions and contested adverse decisions shall use three independent authorised panel members: all three participate and at least two support the reasoned outcome, with every mandatory technical gate met. A technical reviewer may serve on that panel only when independent of evaluation and separately authorised. Other outcome classes use the independent authority specified in the released profile. A majority vote cannot resolve missing essential technical evidence by preference.

Required evidence: Assignment matrix; independent review; three-person participation and votes; gate record.

6.1 – Institutional and personal conflicts

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 16.

The operator shall review financial, ownership, employment, advisory, family, close personal and adversarial relationships at institutional and case levels. Declaration is an input to control, not the control itself. Where a material threat cannot be effectively managed, the individual or entity shall decline or be replaced. For independent third-party evaluation, review and decision, relevant employment or consultancy for the applicant within the preceding 24 months excludes assignment; older relationships remain subject to risk review.

Required evidence: Live conflict register; prior-work screening; independent disposition and assignment restrictions.

6.3 – Consultancy, learning and evaluation

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 16.

General explanation of a requirement and non-client-specific education may be offered through controlled arrangements. A person who designs an applicant's system, corrective solution or technical method shall not independently certify that same work. The operator shall distinguish teaching from examination and independent decision. Training attendance shall not be represented as competence, and purchasing a particular course shall not be required where verified equivalent preparation is acceptable under the profile.

Required evidence: Service boundaries; training/evaluation separation; assignment conflict checks.

6.5 – Independent oversight

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 17.

The oversight function shall have protected access to unedited results, conflict information, trends and resources needed to challenge programme management. It shall report material unresolved threats to a disinterested constitutional route. Implicated officers shall not select their own investigator or control the evidence made available. Oversight may recommend restrictions, reassessment or governance correction; it shall not replace competent case findings with a preferred commercial result.

Required evidence: Oversight mandate; access and budget; independent reports; resolved or escalated threats.

62.5 – No compensation or favourable default

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 89.

A positive conformity decision shall require PASS for every applicable mandatory requirement, closure of every in-scope nonconformity, valid independent competence and actual authority. N/A rows shall have approved reasons; HOLD and FAIL rows shall not contribute a favourable default. Weighted scores, averages, majority votes, programme membership or good results in another discipline shall not compensate for an unmet condition.

Verification: Reconcile the complete requirement population with the decision dossier; test missing and negative cases.

Acceptance / hold rule: The Boolean conjunction in Annex AL is true. Otherwise no positive grant, renewal or extension is permitted.

62.7 – Decision replay and consistency

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 89.

A decision shall be reproducible from the same frozen requirements, parameter values, original evidence, calculation version, uncertainty model and role assignments. Two competent reviewers reaching different results shall identify the differing input, predicate, assumption or expertise before closure. Interpretation records may clarify a term but shall not change limits after results are known. Equation inputs shall retain unrounded values.

Verification: Independently replay a selected boundary case and reconcile every difference.

Acceptance / hold rule: The replay produces the same supported state, or the case remains HOLD pending a controlled technical resolution.

78.4 – Human authority and consequential decisions

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 120.

Human oversight shall be validated against available information, response time, competence, workload and control access. Automated decision support may organise evidence but shall not independently issue an ASTRA grant, renewal, suspension or restoration. Generated observations and citations shall not be presented as authentic source events.

Verification: Challenge automation bias, false-source and delayed-intervention scenarios.

Acceptance / hold rule: Actual authorised people can perform every required role and source evidence remains verifiable; nominal oversight alone is insufficient.

80.3 – Competent independent closure

Source: AF-AST-STD-001, page 124.

The independent reviewer shall have verified technical coverage, lawful evidence access and no prohibited case relationship. Technical dissent shall be resolved by evidence, corrected scope or further work. Where a three-person panel is required, all three eligible members shall participate and the established vote rule shall apply only after the technical gates are satisfied.

Verification: Check competence, conflict, access, panel and reasoned decision records.

Acceptance / hold rule: Both the technical conjunction and applicable authority/panel rules pass; a vote cannot cure absent evidence.

Appendix B — Local adoption, validation and receiving acceptance

Gate	Required evidence	Outcome when incomplete
Authority and identity	Actual operator, scoped mandate, permissible result class, named owner, independent decision and remedy functions.	No live scope release.
Requirement population	All applicable clauses and annexes mapped to child conditions, with reasons and independent approval for exclusions.	HOLD the affected conclusion.
Parameters and methods	Prospective values/attributes; technical basis; valid methods; representativeness; calibration, uncertainty and approved predicates.	No dependent acceptance.
People and evidence	Current demonstrated competence; conflict clearance; original usable source evidence; actual configuration and event times.	Reassign or obtain sufficient evidence.
Local tools and tests	Actual deployment tested with positive, boundary, missing, invalid and prohibited inputs; original failed cases retested.	No consequential reliance on unaccepted tools.
Independent closure	Every mandatory requirement PASS, approved N/A, all in-scope nonconformities verified closed, panel rules where required.	No grant, renewal or extension.
Claims and continuity	Decision-linked scope/status; source history; genuine custody, receiving access, retention, urgent notices and terminal duties.	Restrict publication or transfer until resolved.

Record authentic approval and activation in AF-AST-FR-001 and AF-AST-SC-004 or an equivalent controlled record. The edition label is not an effective date for a particular case. Preserve historical decisions under their actual controlling basis; a newer document does not retroactively create missing competence or evidence. Source: Clauses 4, 31, 61, 62 and 80; Annexes P and AR.

PDF recording limits: text fields may scroll beyond their visible area. A printed or flattened copy shall include controlled continuations whenever the full response is not visible. A typed name is not a certificate-based authenticated signature. An actual signed instrument or authenticated workflow shall establish approval. Record custody and privacy are implemented by the receiving organisation, not by this PDF alone.